UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tupub.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,897	05/20/1999	ANAND RAMAKRISHNA	111399.01	8450
MICROSOFT ONE MICROS			EXAMINER NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
REDMOND, WA 98052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBOUTON@MICROSOFT.COM vffiling@microsoft.com stevensp@microsoft.com

Interview Summary	09/316,897	RAMAKRISHNA	KRISHNA, ANAND			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Maikhanh Nguyen</u> .	(3)					
(2) Chris Culberson (Applicant's representative).	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>01 April 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Microsoft and Wies et al. (US 6125385).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amendment was discussed. Applicant described the differences between the claim invention and the prior art of record. The Examiner agreed to consider applicant's arguments/ amendments when a formal response to the Office Action is received.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Maikhanh Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	/Laurie Ries/ Primary Examiner					

Application No.

Applicant(s)